

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/030,350 11/08/2002 Anne Clark NCI-108US 8642 7590 09/16/2003 Elizabeth A Hanley Lahive & Cockfield 28 State Street Boston, MA 02109 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1625 DATE MAILED: 09/16/2003					
T590 09/16/2003 Elizabeth A Hanley Lahive & Cockfield 28 State Street Boston, MA 02109 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1625	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
Elizabeth A Hanley Lahive & Cockfield 28 State Street Boston, MA 02109 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1625	10/030,350	11/08/2002	Anne Clark	NCI-108US	8642
Lahive & Cockfield 28 State Street Boston, MA 02109 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1625 T	7.	590 09/16/2003			•
28 State Street Boston, MA 02109 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1625	•			EXAMINER	
ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1625	28 State Street			DAVIS, ZINNA NORTHINGTON	
\mathcal{L}	Boston, MA U	12109		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
DATE MAILED: 09/16/2003				1625	$\overline{\mathcal{L}}$
				DATE MAILED: 09/16/2003	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
'	.	10/030,350	CLARK ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Zinna Northington Davis	1625			
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status 1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	÷				
2a)□	. ,	— · is action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa		rosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20, 22-24, 27, 32-36, 41, 43, 44, 46 and 47 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		•			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) 1-20,22-24,27,32-36,41,43,44,46 and	47 are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.			
	on Papers		•			
· ·	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
_	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	i priority and or occio. S i rot	a) (a) or (i).			
ŕ	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received				
•	2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0 GHQ/01 121.			
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/030,350

Art Unit: 1625

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-16, drawn to a method of inhibiting IAPP in a subject.
 - II. Claims 17-20, drawn to a method inhibiting amyloid deposits in a subject.
 - III. Claims 22-24 and 27, drawn to a process for preparing cells suitable for transplantation into a mammal.
 - IV. Claims 32-34, drawn to a culture medium or a culture pre-mix.
 - V. Claims 35 and 36, drawn to ex vivo cells.
 - VI. Claims 41, drawn to a composition comprising ex vivo cells.
 - VII. Claim 43, drawn to a vessel for containing a culture of cells.
 - VIII. Claim 44, drawn to a kit for culturing cells comprising a culture medium or a culture pre-mix.
 - IX. Claims 46 and 47, drawn to a method of identifying an inhibitor that can be used to prepare cells for transplantation.
- 2. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. For instance, see claims 1 and 17.
- 3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: A, W, Y, n, t, p, and R¹- R⁶.

Application/Control Number: 10/030,350

Art Unit: 1625

The ring system and radicals within the definition of A, W, Y, n, t, p, and R¹- R⁶ are diverse in scope. A prior art reference, which anticipates one member of R¹ and R² such as hydrogen under 35 U.S.C. 102 would not render obvious another member such as isoquinolinyl under 35 U.S.C. 103. Accordingly, the ring systems and the radicals are independent and patentably distinct.

4. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-20, 22-24, 27, 32-36, 41, 43, 44, 46 and 47 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/030,350

Art Unit: 1625

either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Ms. Elizabeth Hanley on September 13, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zinna N. Davis whose telephone number is (703) 308-4699.

Znd 9-15-2003 MUQUUA INNA NORTHINGTON DAVIS PRIMARY EXAMINER